UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/544,201	08/02/2005	Raymond Jacobus Knaapen	NL 030151	8282
24737 7590 04/02/2009 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			EXAMINER	
			MENDEZ, ZULMARIAM	
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/02/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/544,201	KNAAPEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ZULMARIAM MENDEZ	1795				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 A</u>	ugust 2005					
· <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayre</i> , 1933 C.D. 11, 403 C.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application.	4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te				

Application/Control Number: 10/544,201 Page 2

Art Unit: 1795

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.
- 2. This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.
- 3. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.
 - Group I, claim(s) 1-34, drawn to a method for dressing a grinding surface.
 - Group II, claim(s) 35-39, drawn to a method for controlling the z-position of a slide.
 - Group III, claim(s) 40-42, drawn to a control circuit for controlling the z-position of a slide.
 - Group IV, claim(s) 43-45, drawn to a machine for supporting and positioning a work-piece.
- 4. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the special technical feature of Group I is the method steps for dressing a grinding surface and this feature is not properly or positively recited in the claims of Group II. In addition, the special technical feature of Group II is the method for controlling the z-position of a slide and this feature is not recited in the claims of Group I. Therefore, unity of invention is lacking.
- 5. The inventions listed as Groups I and III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the

Application/Control Number: 10/544,201

Page 3

Art Unit: 1795

same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the special technical feature of Group I is the method steps for dressing a grinding surface and this feature is not properly or positively recited in the claims of Group III. In addition, the special technical feature of Group III is the control circuit for controlling the z-position of a slide and this feature is not recited in the claims of Group I. Therefore, unity of invention is lacking.

- 6. The inventions listed as Groups I and IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the special technical feature of Group I is the method steps for dressing a grinding surface and this feature is not properly or positively recited in the claims of Group IV. In addition, the special technical feature of Group IV is a machine for supporting and positioning a work-piece and this feature is not recited in the claims of Group I. Therefore, unity of invention is lacking.
- 7. The inventions listed as Groups II and III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the special technical feature of Group II is the method steps for controlling the z-position of a slide and this feature is not properly or positively recited in the claims of Group III. In addition, the special technical feature of Group III is the control circuit for controlling the z-position of a slide and this feature is not recited in the claims of Group II. Therefore, unity of invention is lacking.

Application/Control Number: 10/544,201

Art Unit: 1795

8. The inventions listed as Groups II and IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the special technical feature of Group II is the method steps for controlling the z-position of a slide and this feature is not properly or positively recited in the claims of Group IV. In addition, the special technical feature of Group IV is a machine for supporting and positioning a work-piece and this feature is not recited in the claims of Group II. Therefore, unity of invention is lacking.

Page 4

- 9. The inventions listed as Groups III and IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the special technical feature of Group III is the control circuit for controlling the z-position of a slide and this feature is not properly or positively recited in the claims of Group IV. In addition, the special technical feature of Group IV is a machine for supporting and positioning a work-piece and this feature is not recited in the claims of Group II. Therefore, unity of invention is lacking.
- 10. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 11. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does

Art Unit: 1795

not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

12. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ZULMARIAM MENDEZ whose telephone number is (571)272-9805. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexa D. Neckel can be reached on 571-272-1446. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/544,201 Page 6

Art Unit: 1795

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Harry D Wilkins, III/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795

/Z. M./ Examiner, Art Unit 1795